UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,512	08/29/2001	David Perez Corral	FR920010024US1	9605

			EXAMINER
7590	03/06/2006		STEELMAN, MARY J

James Boice Esq
Dillon & Yudall LLP
8911 North Capital Of Texas Highway
Suite 2110
Austin, TX 78759

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2191	

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/942,512	CORRAL, DAVID PEREZ
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Mary J. Steelman	2191	

All Participants:

(1) _Mary J. Steelman_.

(2) _Jim Boice, Reg. No. 44,545_.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _24 February 2006_   **Time:** _4:30 PM_

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
_1, 9_

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It is suggested to include the limitations of claim 9 into claim 1 to provide functionality to claim limitations.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

WEI ZHEN
ꞏIPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)